

MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

508(c)(1)(a) Free Church • Sole Tanakh Authority
A Study for Judaism • Christianity • Islam

DOCUMENT 198

TECHIYAT HAMETIM: THE RESURRECTION OF THE DEAD A COVENANT DEEP DIVE

Does the Body Rise? — A Three-Religion Covenant Response from the Written Tanakh

July 2026

Elder Kepha Arcemont, Founder

miqdashbethel.org • miqdashbethel@gmail.com • 402-218-9530

PO Box 762, Pearl River, Louisiana 70452

REACHING JUDAISM, CHRISTIANITY, AND ISLAM

☆ JUDAISM	† CHRISTIANITY	☩ ISLAM
Techiyat hametim (<i>techiyat hametim</i> , resurrection of the dead) is one of Rambam's Thirteen Principles. Daniel 12:2 and Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 26:19 are the explicit witnesses. The Amidah blesses Yahweh as <i>Mechayeh HaMetim</i> (reviver of the dead). Resurrection is future, communal, and bodily — not yet realized in any individual.	The resurrection of Yeshua (Jesus) is claimed as the historical 'firstfruits' (1 Corinthians 15:20) guaranteeing a future bodily resurrection of all the dead. Paul roots the entire gospel's validity on this claimed event: 'if Christ has not been raised, your faith is futile' (1 Corinthians 15:14, 17).	Yawm al-Qiyamah (the Day of Resurrection) affirms bodily resurrection for judgment (Qur'an 75:1–4; 36:78–79) as forcefully as Daniel and Isaiah — yet Qur'an 4:157 denies the crucifixion Christianity claims as resurrection's historical proof, holding instead that Allah raised Isa (Jesus) to Himself alive.

DOCTRINAL AUTHORITY — STANDING RULE OF MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

The sole binding authority of Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution is the *Tanakh* — the written covenant of **Yahweh**. No Talmudic tractate, Church council decree, papal encyclical, hadith collection, or extra-biblical tradition carries doctrinal weight equal to or greater than the written Torah. Where any tradition conflicts with the written covenant, the written covenant governs without exception. The Talmud, New Testament, and Qur'an are cited as historical reference and comparative witness — never as superseding authority. Miqdash Bethel uses the Written Tanakh as its sole source for four reasons: it is the only text Judaism, Christianity, and Islam all recognize as originally given by **Yahweh**; it predates and underlies the Talmud, New Testament, and

Qur'an, so testing those traditions against it follows the evidentiary direction of **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15** — the earlier witness governs the later commentary; every later tradition that departs from the *Tanakh* does so by addition or reinterpretation, never by overturning the text itself, so the text remains the fixed standard; and **Yahweh's** own words declare the covenant unchanging (**Malachi 3:6; Tehillim (Psalms) 119:89**), making the written covenant — not evolving institutional interpretation — the only stable ground for doctrine. All three great covenant traditions — Judaism, Christianity, and Islam — are addressed simultaneously and equally in every Miqdash Bethel document, because **Yahweh's** covenant belongs to every nation that will receive it. The **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15** two-or-three-witness evidentiary standard governs all textual claims in this document.

HOW TO READ THIS DOCUMENT

This document applies the sole authority of the written *Tanakh*. **Yahweh (יהוה)** and **Elohim (אלהים)** appear in bold throughout. Hebrew book names are given with English in parentheses. Hebrew transliterations appear in ***bold italic*** with English meaning in parentheses at every occurrence. Scripture references are bold. Three audiences are addressed simultaneously: Judaism, Christianity, and Islam. Rabbinic commentary, New Testament texts, and the Qur'an are cited as historical and comparative reference — not as binding authority. The Written Tanakh governs all conclusions.

TANAKH BOOKS CITED IN THIS DOCUMENT

DIVISION	BOOKS CITED	PURPOSE IN THIS STUDY
Torah	Bereishit (Genesis), Devarim (Deuteronomy)	Anthropological foundation — nephesh chayah, dust, and Yahweh's sovereignty over life and death
Nevi'im (Prophets)	Yeshayahu (Isaiah), Yechezkel (Ezekiel), Hoshea (Hosea), 1 Shmuel (Samuel), 1 Melachim (Kings), 2 Melachim (Kings)	Direct and typological witnesses to resurrection and revivification
Ketuvim (Writings)	Daniel, Iyov (Job), Tehillim (Psalms)	The clearest resurrection text (Daniel) and the covenant community's hope beyond Sheol

I. FRAMING THE QUESTION — WHAT DOES THE COVENANT MEAN BY 'RESURRECTION'?

I-A. The Hebrew Vocabulary: Chayah and Techiyah

The Hebrew verb *chayah* (חָיָה), Strong's H2421, means '*to live, to revive, to be restored to life.*' Its causative (*Hiphil*) form means '*to cause to live, to make alive, to give life to.*' The noun built from this root, *techiyah* (תְּחִיָּה, revival/resurrection), and the fuller rabbinic phrase *techiyat hametim* (תְּחִיַּת הַמֵּתִים, resurrection of the dead), do not appear as fixed technical terms until post-biblical Hebrew — but the underlying concept is embedded directly in the Tanakh's own verbs and narratives long before the rabbis gave it a name.

Also foundational is:

Devarim (Deuteronomy) 32:39: “*See now that I, even I, am He, and there is no god beside Me. I put to death and I bring to life (achayah, אָחַיָּה); I wounded and I heal, and there is none who can deliver from My hand.*”

This is the covenant's own claim, in the Torah itself, that **Yahweh** — and no other power — holds sovereign authority over both death and restoration to life.

I-B. Hebrew Anthropology vs. Greek Dualism — Why the Body Matters

A covenant reading of resurrection must first correct a Greek philosophical import that has shaped Christian and popular thought for two millennia: the idea that a person is fundamentally an immortal soul temporarily housed in a disposable body, so that '*salvation*' means the soul's escape from the body. **The Tanakh teaches no such thing!**

Bereishit (Genesis) 2:7: “*Yahweh Elohim formed the man of dust (afar, עָפָר) from the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life, and the man became a nephesh chayah (נִפְשׁ חַיָּה, a living being).*”

The Hebrew *nephesh chayah* (נִפְשׁ חַיָּה, *living being/soul*) is not a soul placed inside a body — the whole formed, breathing, embodied unit IS the *nephesh*. Bereishit (Genesis) 3:19 confirms the consequence of covenant rupture: “**for dust (afar, עָפָר) you are, and to dust you shall return.**” Death in Tanakh is not liberation of a soul; it is the dissolution of a whole living being. This is precisely why resurrection — not merely the immortality of a disembodied soul — is the covenant's answer to death: only the reconstitution of the whole *nephesh*, body included, actually reverses what death did.

II. THE TANAKH'S OWN WITNESSES TO RESURRECTION

II-A. Daniel 12:2 — The Clearest Text

The single clearest resurrection text in the entire Tanakh is:

Daniel 12:2: “*And many of those who sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake, some to everlasting life, and some to shame and everlasting contempt.*” (Daniel 12:2, JPS 1985)

This verse sits at the climax of Daniel's final vision (chapters 10–12), delivered by a heavenly messenger during “*a time of trouble, such as never was since there was a nation*” (12:1). Resurrection is introduced as the answer to that crisis reaching beyond the living into the grave itself — deliverance is not only for those who survive, but for the dead who never saw it resolved.

The Hebrew is deliberately physical, refusing any hint of abstraction: the dead '*sleep*' (*yeshenei*) in '*the dust of the earth*' (*admat-afar*), and shall '*awake*' (*yakitzu*, from *qutz*, H6974 — the ordinary verb for waking from sleep). The destinies are equally concrete: 'everlasting life' (*chayei olam*) against 'reproaches and everlasting contempt' (*dziroan olam*). Verse 3 adds a second tier for the righteous specifically — "*those who are wise shall shine like the brightness of the sky, and those who turn many to righteousness like the stars forever*" — reward calibrated to faithfulness, not a flat, undifferentiated afterlife.

Traditional dating places Daniel in the sixth century BCE, during the Babylonian exile. Historical-critical scholarship, working from the specificity of Daniel 11's political detail, generally dates the book's final form to the Maccabean crisis under Antiochus IV in the second century BCE — a period of real martyrdom that would explain why resurrection surfaces here with such force, answering the problem of the righteous dying unjustly for the covenant with no worldly recompense in view. Both positions exist in scholarship; the text's meaning under this document's Doctrinal Authority rule does not depend on resolving the question.

By the first century, belief in resurrection had become a live dividing line within Judaism itself: the Pharisees affirmed it, the Sadducees denied it — a dispute the New Testament records as an open, ongoing controversy (Acts 23:6–8), corroborated by Josephus. Daniel 12:2 is the text most directly behind the Pharisaic position, and its two-destiny structure recurs directly in John 5:28–29 ("*those who have done good, to the resurrection of life, and those who have done evil, to the resurrection of judgment*") and Acts 24:15. The Qur'an preserves the same shape independently — Yawm al-Qiyamah divides the raised into those whose deeds are approved and those condemned (compare Surah 36:59). Judaism, Christianity, and Islam all keep the same basic shape from Daniel: people get raised, but not everyone gets raised into the same outcome. Some rise to reward, some to punishment. None of the three religions teaches that everyone who's resurrected automatically gets a good ending.

II-B. Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 26:19 — Dew of Light

"Your dead shall live, their corpses shall rise. Awake and sing for joy, you who dwell in the dust! For your dew is like the dew of light, and the earth shall bring forth the departed spirits." (Yeshayahu \[Isaiah\] 26:19, JPS 1985)

The Hebrew **nevelati yekumun** (נִבְלָתִי יָקוּמֹן, 'my corpses shall arise') is unambiguous — the verb *qum* (קוּם, H6965, to arise, to stand up) is the same verb used throughout Tanakh for physical rising. This text stands within a broader Isaian oracle celebrating **Yahweh's** triumph over death itself (compare Yeshayahu \[Isaiah\] 25:8: "He will swallow up death forever").

Literary setting — the "Little Apocalypse"

Isaiah 26:19 sits inside Isaiah 24–27, a unit scholars call Isaiah's "**Little Apocalypse**" — a cosmic sequence of judgment and renewal. Isaiah 26 itself is framed as a hymn of trust the righteous will sing "in that day" (26:1), and verse 19 is Yahweh's answer, mid-hymn, to the people's own complaint in verse 18 that all their labor "has given birth only to wind" — no lasting deliverance came from it. Verse 19 answers that despair directly: where Israel's own efforts produced nothing, Yahweh's own act will produce life from the dust itself.

A textual detail worth adding — "my dead bodies," not "their" or "your"

The Masoretic Hebrew reads *nevelati* — "**my dead bodies**" — confirmed by the Great Isaiah Scroll from Qumran (1QIsa^a), one of the oldest Hebrew biblical manuscripts in existence. The Septuagint, Peshitta, and Aramaic Targum all smooth this to "their" or "your" dead bodies instead — the harder, more startling reading was the one later translators tended to soften. Under your research standards, the Masoretic-plus-Qumran reading is the stronger textual claim, and it's theologically loaded: **Yahweh Himself speaks of "my dead" — the dead belong to Him, not merely to the grave.**

"Dew of light" — the phrase itself

The Hebrew *tal orot* is genuinely ambiguous, worth showing rather than flattening: the Vulgate reads it as "**dew of light**" (*ros lucis*), taking *orot* as "**lights**"; other translators read the nearly identical consonants as *oroth*, "**herbs/vegetation**" (compare 2 Kings 4:39), giving "**dew of the plants.**" Either way the image is the same — a light morning dew that quietly, without violence or drama, brings a parched, lifeless field back to green. That gentleness is deliberate: resurrection here is not a battle scene but something as ordinary and certain as dew falling at dawn.

The verse is doing more work than it first appears — the contrast with 26:14

Five verses earlier, in the same hymn, Isaiah says of Israel's dead oppressors — using the identical word, *rephaim* (רפאים, the shades of the dead):

*"They are dead, they will not live; they are shades (rephaim), they will not arise.
Therefore You have punished and destroyed them, and wiped out all memory of them."
(Isaiah 26:14)*

Then verse 19, addressing Israel's own dead, uses the same word again — "**the earth will give birth to the rephaim**" — but says the opposite. Same noun, same root verb *qum* ("**to rise**"), flatly contradictory verdicts, four verses apart, in the same poem. This is not sloppiness; it's the point. Resurrection in Isaiah 26 is not a universal, automatic reversal of death — it is **selective and covenantal**. Some dead stay dead permanently and are actively erased from memory; others are named and reclaimed by Yahweh as "mine." That is the same shape Daniel 12:2 gives the doctrine later — "**some to everlasting life, and some to shame**" — except Isaiah gets there first, by literary contrast rather than direct statement.

Forward connections already latent in the text

- **Isaiah 25:8** ("**He will swallow up death forever**"), already cited in the document, is the verse **Paul quotes directly** in 1 Corinthians 15:54 as scriptural warrant for the resurrection he's defending — the New Testament's own argument leans on this Isaian oracle, not on anything outside the Tanakh.
- **Matthew 11:5** — Jesus's answer to John the Baptist, "*the dead are raised up*," is usually read by Christian commentators as pulling directly from Isaiah 26:19's language, alongside Isaiah 35:5-6 and 61:1.

The Islamic parallel — the same image, independently, and repeatedly

This isn't a one-off echo like the Ezekiel/Uzair parallel — it's one of the Qur'an's most recurrent arguments for resurrection: rain reviving visibly dead land as living proof Allah can revive dead bodies.

"Look at the effects of Allah's mercy — how He gives life to the earth after its death. Indeed, that [same One] will give life to the dead." (Qur'an 30:50; nearly identical in 41:39 and 35:9)

Isaiah reaches for *dew*; the Qur'an reaches for *rain* — the same class of image, arid-climate water reviving what looked finished, offered independently as natural-world evidence for bodily resurrection. It's a stronger three-way convergence than Ezekiel's, since the Qur'an uses this image so often it functions as one of its standard proofs, not an isolated allusion.

II-C. Yechezkel (Ezekiel) 37:1–14 — The Valley of Dry Bones

"The hand of Yahweh was upon me, and He brought me out in the ruach (רוּחַ, H7307) of Yahweh and set me down in the middle of the valley, and it was full of bones... and behold, there were very many on the surface of the valley, and behold, they were very dry." (Yechezkel \[Ezekiel\] 37:1–2, condensed, JPS 1985)

The dryness is deliberate — these are not the recently dead. This is a picture of hope addressed to a case where hope no longer seems reasonable.

Yahweh then asks the question that anchors the whole vision: ***"Son of man, can these bones live?"*** (37:3). Ezekiel's answer is itself instructive — not 'yes' and not 'no,' but ***"O Lord Yahweh, You know."*** The prophet refuses to answer a resurrection question from his own reasoning; only Yahweh can answer it.

The restoration then unfolds in two distinct stages, and the gap between them carries the theological weight. First, structure without breath (37:7–8): at the prophesied word, ***"there was a noise, and behold, a rattling, and the bones came together, bone to its bone."*** Sinews, flesh, and skin cover them — ***"but there was no ruach (רוּחַ) in them."*** Fully formed bodies, lying there lifeless — anatomical restoration is not yet life. **Second, breath is called separately** (37:9–10): Ezekiel is commanded to prophesy a second time, now to the *ruach* (רוּחַ, wind/breath/spirit) itself: ***"Come from the four winds, O breath (ruach), and breathe on these slain, that they may live."*** Only then do they live and stand — ***"an exceedingly great army"*** (37:10). The vision treats reassembly and animation as two separate divine acts, not one. The Hebrew *ruach* is doing triple duty throughout the passage — wind, breath, and spirit simultaneously — and the vision plays on all three senses at once.

Unlike Daniel 12:2, this vision does not leave its meaning to inference — the text names it directly, verses 11–14: ***"These bones are the whole house of Yisra'el (Israel)... Behold, I will open your graves and raise you from your graves, O My people, and bring you into the land of Yisra'el. Then you shall know that I am Yahweh... and I will put My ruach within you, and you shall live, and I will place you in your own land."*** The immediate, primary referent is national restoration from exile, not individual eschatological resurrection.

Post-biblical rabbinic commentary preserves a genuine three-way dispute over whether the vision also depicts a literal, historical event, recorded in **Sanhedrin 92b: Rabbi Eliezer** held it literal and historical — the revived dead "stood on their feet, sang a song, and died" again; *Rabbi Yehuda* held "in truth, it was a parable" — no literal event occurred at all; *Rabbi Eliezer ben Yossi HaGelili* held it literal and permanent — the revived "went up to the land of Yisra'el, married, and had children," with a later sage, *Rabbi Yehuda ben Beteira*, even claiming descent from one of them. Medieval commentators *Rashi* and *Radak* lean toward reading the vision

as illustrating the exile's reversal rather than reporting literal corpses standing in Babylon. Even within rabbinic tradition, then, there is no unanimity that Ezekiel 37 itself depicts individual eschatological resurrection — which is precisely why Daniel 12:2 and Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 26:19 must do the independent corroborating work under the Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15 standard, rather than the doctrine resting on Ezekiel's vision alone. Both readings — national and individual — are held within covenant tradition, and neither cancels the other.

The same reassembly-and-animation image appears independently in the Qur'an (Surah al-Baqarah 2:259), in the account traditionally identified with Uzair (Ezra): a man passes a ruined, depopulated town and asks, 'How will Allah bring this to life after its death?' He is made to die a hundred years, then revived — his food miraculously unspoiled, but his donkey reduced to bare bones, which are reassembled and clothed with flesh before his eyes as a deliberate teaching sign. Classical commentators including Ibn Kathir explicitly connect this passage to Ezekiel's vision and to Nehemiah's return to a ruined Jerusalem — the same reassembly-as-proof-of-resurrection-power image, reached independently.

The New Testament's Revelation likewise draws directly on this vision's language: the two witnesses lie dead in the street three and a half days, then:

“the breath (pneuma) of life from Elohim entered them, and they stood up on their feet” (Revelation 11:11)

— phrasing lifted deliberately from Yechezkel (Ezekiel) 37:10. Christian commentary reads Ezekiel's vision as the pattern (type) which Revelation's scene claims to echo (antitype) as a sign of the coming general resurrection.

That three traditions independently reach for this same picture — ruin or bones, deliberate reassembly, and a divine word or breath that closes the gap between structure and life — corroborates, apart from any single tradition's own doctrinal claims, how deeply the concept of bodily resurrection accomplished by divine word was embedded in this textual world before any of the three religions built their present doctrines upon it.

II-D. Iyov (Job) 19:25–27 — The Redeemer Who Stands at the Last

“For I know that my Redeemer (go'el, גֹּאֵל, H1350) lives, and at the last He will stand upon the dust (afar, אֶפְרָר, H1320). And after my skin has been destroyed, yet in my flesh (basar, בָּשָׂר, H1320) I shall see Elohim, whom I shall see for myself, and my eyes shall behold, and not another.” (Iyov \[Job\] 19:25–27, JPS 1985, alt.)

The word **go'el** (גֹּאֵל) is not a poetic flourish — it is a specific Torah office: the near kinsman legally obligated to avenge a murdered relative's blood (Bemidbar \[Numbers\] 35:19), buy back a family member sold into debt-slavery, or redeem mortgaged ancestral land (Vayikra \[Leviticus\] 25:25). Boaz functions as Ruth and Naomi's **go'el** in exactly this legal sense. When Job says ***“I know that my Redeemer lives,”*** he invokes a legal guarantor bound by kinship obligation to stand up for him, not merely an abstract comforter — and given the book's uncompromising monotheism, the **go'el** here can only be **Elohim** Himself.

The phrase ***“in my flesh I shall see Elohim”*** is genuinely, not merely superficially, contested among translators. The Hebrew **mibbesari** (מִבְּשָׂרִי) uses the preposition **min** (מִן), which can mean 'from/out of' or 'away from/without' depending on context, and Hebrew grammarians have argued both readings for centuries. The New JPS Tanakh renders it *‘but I would behold Elohim while in my flesh’* (embodied); the older 1917 JPS renders the same words *‘then without my flesh shall I see Elohim’* (disembodied). What both readings share, and

what is not in dispute, is the preceding clause: “*at the last He will stand upon the dust*” — *acharon*, ‘the last, the end’ — the same eschatological horizon Daniel 12:2 and Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 26:19 point toward.

This is not a stray devotional line — it is the peak of Job’s response to three friends who insist his suffering proves guilt. Job refuses that logic and stakes his hope beyond the argument entirely: even if he dies unvindicated and unanswered, his Redeemer will still stand and set it right — “**and my eyes shall behold, and not another.**” The hope is explicitly personal and non-transferable — not a shared afterlife concept, but Job’s own eyes seeing his own case resolved.

II-E. Tehillim (Psalms) 16:10 and Hoshea (Hosea) 13:14

“For You will not abandon my soul (nephesh) to Sheol (שְׁאוֹל), nor let Your holy one see the pit (shachat, שָׁחַת).” (Tehillim \[Psalms\] 16:10, JPS 1985)

The Hebrew word is *shachat* (שָׁחַת, H7845). Its most basic, well-attested sense across the Tanakh is ‘**pit**’ — a concrete place, another name for Sheol (compare Tehillim \[Psalms\] 30:9; Yeshayahu \[Isaiah\] 38:17). The Septuagint, however, translated it *diaphthora* — ‘**corruption/decay**’ — a process rather than a place. That translation choice becomes the hinge of Peter’s Pentecost argument in Acts 2:25–31: Peter observes that David died, was buried, and his tomb was still standing in Jerusalem in plain view — David’s own flesh plainly did see corruption. He argues that David, writing as a prophet, must therefore have spoken of a future descendant whose body would not decay. That argument depends entirely on reading *shachat* as ‘corruption’ rather than ‘pit’; the word’s primary sense in the Tanakh is locational, not medical, and this document states that plainly rather than adopting the convenient reading silently.

“Shall I ransom them from the power of Sheol? Shall I redeem them from death? O death, where are your plagues? O Sheol, where is your destruction?” (Hoshea \[Hosea\] 13:14, JPS 1985)

Biblical Hebrew carries no punctuation, so whether these lines are a **promise** or a **rhetorical question expecting ‘no’** depends entirely on how translators read the surrounding context, and translations split hard: the KJV and NIV render Yahweh’s words as a positive promise to ransom Israel from Sheol; the ESV, NASB, and NET render the identical words as a rhetorical question implying the opposite — that Yahweh will **not** ransom them — immediately followed by ‘compassion is hidden from My eyes,’ in a chapter otherwise devoted entirely to judgment on Ephraim’s idolatry. The Septuagint reads the lines as a positive statement, and that LXX reading is precisely what Paul draws on in 1 Corinthians 15:55 (“*O death, where is your sting?*”), lifting Hosea’s words into a triumphant resurrection context regardless of what the verse meant in its original eighth-century-BCE setting toward Israel. Both readings are presented here rather than resolved, per the Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15 standard’s demand for textual honesty.

Both texts affirm **Yahweh’s** authority to reclaim covenant life out of *Sheol* (שְׁאוֹל, the realm/abode of the dead), reinforcing **1 Shmuel (Samuel) 2:6**: “**Yahweh kills and brings to life; He brings down to Sheol and raises up.**”

II-F. Three Historical Acts — Revivification Within the Covenant Narrative

The Tanakh also records three specific historical acts of the dead being restored to mortal life through a covenant prophet — distinct from, but corroborating, the doctrine of final resurrection.

1 Melachim (Kings) 17:17–24 — Eliyahu (Elijah). Eliyahu stretches himself over the widow of Tzarfat's (Zarephath's) son three times and prays with striking specificity: “*let this child's nephesh (soul/life) come into him again*” — not a generic prayer for healing, but a plea for the departed life-force itself to return to the body. The narrative's payoff is a legitimacy test as much as a mercy: the widow's response, “*now I know that you are a man of Elohim, and the word of Yahweh in your mouth is truth,*” ties the miracle directly to confirming Eliyahu's prophetic office. Power over death authenticates the prophetic word.

2 Melachim (Kings) 4:18–37 — Elisha. This account is deliberately more elaborate and physical than Eliyahu's: Elisha lies on the child twice, ‘*eyes to eyes, mouth to mouth, hands to hands,*’ and the child sneezes seven times before opening his eyes. This doubling is not incidental — Elisha had specifically requested ‘a double portion’ of Eliyahu's spirit before Eliyahu's ascension (2 Melachim \[Kings\] 2:9), and his ministry repeatedly shows him performing intensified versions of Eliyahu's miracles as evidence that the request was granted.

2 Melachim (Kings) 13:20–21 — the bones. This is the most theologically striking of the three, and easy to underweight: it occurs after Elisha's own death. A corpse, hastily thrown into Elisha's tomb during a Moabite raid, touches his bones and revives. There is no prayer, no prophetic word spoken, no living agent at all — only contact with the remains of a man who is himself dead. This account pushes the doctrine further than the other two: covenant power to reverse death is shown to outlast the prophet's own body, not merely to operate through his living word.

Each account is a *techiyah* (תחייה, revival) back into ordinary mortal life — the restored persons died again later — not the final, imperishable resurrection of Daniel 12:2. Under the two-or-three-witness standard, these three narratives corroborate that Yahweh possesses, and exercises within covenant history, sovereign power to reverse death itself. They function as down payments on the final resurrection, not substitutes for it.

Luke 7:11–17 (Yeshua and the widow of Nain's son) is constructed as a deliberate echo of 1 Melachim (Kings) 17 — a prophet, a widow, an only son, restored and ‘given to his mother’ in nearly identical language. The Qur'an, for its part, has Isa (Jesus) state directly that he raises the dead ‘by Allah's leave’ (Surah 3:49; 5:110) — meaning Islam affirms this specific category of miracle for Isa even while denying the crucifixion-resurrection Christianity rests on. All three traditions, independently, treat a prophet's power to reverse death as the sign that authenticates the prophet — not as an end in itself.

III. THE COVENANT FRAMEWORK — WHY RESURRECTION MATTERS TO THE COVENANT

Resurrection in the Tanakh is not a philosophical consolation for death's sting — it is a **covenant necessity**. **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 30:19** sets life and death, blessing and curse, before Yisra'el (Israel) as covenant outcomes. If death were final and total — the complete, unanswerable dissolution of the *nephesh chayah* — then **Yahweh's** covenant justice would have no final court. The righteous who suffered injustice their whole lives (Kohelet \[Ecclesiastes\] 8:14) and the wicked who prospered would both simply cease, and the covenant's promise of ultimate justice (**Kohelet \[Ecclesiastes\] 12:14: “Elohim will bring every deed into judgment”**) would be unenforceable. Resurrection is the mechanism by which the covenant's promise of final judgment becomes possible at all — the dead must be raised bodily in order to stand before Yahweh and receive what the covenant promised or warned.

IV. THREE-RELIGION AUDIT — HOW EACH TRADITION HANDLES RESURRECTION

ELEMENT	JUDAISM	CHRISTIANITY	ISLAM
Primary Term	Techiyat hametim (resurrection of the dead) — one of Rambam's Thirteen Principles; denial forfeits a share in Olam HaBa (Mishnah Sanhedrin 10:1).	Anastasis (ἀνστασις) — resurrection of Yeshua as the historical 'firstfruits' of a future general resurrection (1 Cor 15:20-23).	Yawm al-Qiyamah (the Day of Resurrection/Rising) — bodily resurrection for judgment, one of the six articles of Islamic faith.
Textual Basis	Daniel 12:2 and Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 26:19 are the two explicit Tanakh texts; Ezekiel 37 and Iyov (Job) 19:25–27 supply corroboration; developed further in Mishnah Sanhedrin and the Amidah.	Built on the Tanakh's own resurrection texts (Daniel 12:2 quoted directly by Yeshua in the Gospels) plus the claimed historical event of the empty tomb (1 Cor 15:3–8).	Qur'an 75:1–4 (Surat al-Qiyamah) and 36:78–79 (Surat Ya-Sin) affirm bodily reassembly explicitly, addressing skeptics who doubted decayed bodies could be restored — a concern paralleling Daniel 12:2's audience.
Covenant Convergence	Bodily, not merely spiritual, resurrection; a future event tied to divine judgment and covenant restoration — matches the plain sense of Daniel 12:2 and Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 26:19 without addition.	Retains the Tanakh's insistence on bodily (not merely spiritual) resurrection — 1 Cor 15 explicitly refutes a disembodied Greek reading, aligning with the Hebrew nephesh chayah anthropology of Bereishit (Genesis) 2:7.	Retains bodily resurrection as forcefully as the Tanakh — Qur'anic scenes of the dead emerging from graves, recognizing one another, and standing for judgment mirror Daniel 12:2's 'awake' language closely.
Covenant Departure	The Tanakh does not name a single resurrected individual as the guarantee of the general resurrection — this is the point where Christianity's claim and Judaism's traditional	Rests the entire doctrine on one specific historical claim (Yeshua's resurrection) that the Written Tanakh itself does not name or describe; the two-or-three-witness	Qur'an 4:157 denies the crucifixion outright ('they did not kill him, nor did they crucify him... it was made to appear so to them'), removing the historical event Christianity offers

	expectation diverge from each other, not necessarily from the Tanakh's own text, which leaves the timing and mechanism undefined.	standard (Devarim 19:15) cannot be applied to it from the Tanakh alone, since the Tanakh contains no witness testimony to this specific claimed event.	as resurrection's proof, while Islam affirms its own general bodily resurrection on a different textual basis entirely.
--	---	--	---

V. FIVE COVENANT WITNESSES TO THE RESURRECTION

Per the **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15** standard, no doctrine may stand on a single text. The following five witnesses corroborate bodily resurrection as a Tanakh doctrine, independent of any single tradition's later development.

Witness One — Daniel 12:2: The Dead Awake to Two Destinies

“And many of those who sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake, some to everlasting life, and some to shame and everlasting contempt.” The clearest, most direct statement in the Tanakh, delivered amid national crisis (Daniel 10–12) so that deliverance reaches the dead as well as the living. Physical, universal in scope, morally bifurcated in outcome — this is precisely the divide the Pharisees and Sadducees later argued over (Acts 23:6–8) and that John 5:28–29 and the Qur'an's Yawm al-Qiyamah both preserve independently.

Witness Two — Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 26:19: Corpses Rise, the Earth Gives Up Its Dead

“Your dead shall live, their corpses shall rise... the earth shall bring forth the departed spirits.” Set within an oracle of Yahweh's triumph over death itself (Yeshayahu \[Isaiah\] 25:8).

Witness Three — Yechezkel (Ezekiel) 37:1–14: Breath Returns to the Bones

Primarily a national restoration oracle (v. 11), but its two-stage structure — bones, sinew, flesh, and skin reassembled first, then ruach (breath/spirit) called separately to animate them — presupposes the concept of literal bodily resurrection as intelligible to its audience. Rabbinic tradition itself divides (Sanhedrin 92b) on whether it also records a literal historical event, and the same reassembly image recurs independently in Qur'an 2:259 and is echoed directly in Revelation 11:11.

Witness Four — Iyov (Job) 19:25–27: The Redeemer Who Stands at the Last

“I know that my Redeemer (go'el — the Torah's kinsman-redeemer office) lives, and at the last He will stand upon the dust... in my flesh I shall see Elohim.” Embodied hope beyond the grave, voiced from within acute personal suffering, staked as a legal claim on a kinsman bound to vindicate him — though whether ‘in my flesh’ means embodied or disembodied vision remains a genuine translation dispute (New JPS vs. 1917 JPS).

Witness Five — 1 Shmuel (Samuel) 2:6 and Devarim (Deuteronomy) 32:39: Yahweh Alone Kills and Makes Alive

“Yahweh kills and brings to life; He brings down to Sheol and raises up” (1 Shmuel 2:6). “I put to death and I bring to life” (Devarim 32:39). The covenant's own claim that death and its reversal belong to Yahweh's sovereign authority alone — no rival power administers either.

VI. WHERE THE THREE TRADITIONS CONVERGE AND DIVERGE

Convergence: All three traditions affirm a future, bodily, universal resurrection tied to divine judgment. None of the three, in their mainstream historical teaching, reduces the final hope to a disembodied immortal soul alone. This three-way agreement itself corroborates, independently of any one tradition, that bodily resurrection is the covenant's own teaching rather than a sectarian invention.

Divergence: The traditions part company not on *whether* the dead will rise bodily, but on *when it has already begun* and *who has already risen*. Judaism holds the event entirely in the future — no resurrection has yet occurred for anyone. Christianity holds that the general resurrection has already begun in one specific historical case (Yeshua), functioning as guarantee and 'firstfruits' of the rest. Islam affirms the same future general resurrection as Judaism, while independently denying the specific historical claim Christianity offers as evidence, holding instead that Isa (Jesus) was raised alive to Allah without dying on the cross at all — a third position, neither Judaism's silence on the matter nor Christianity's claimed fulfillment.

Under the **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15** two-or-three-witness standard, the Written Tanakh itself contains no witness testimony — first-hand, contemporaneous, or otherwise — to any individual's resurrection having already occurred in fulfillment of Daniel 12:2 or Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 26:19. The Written Tanakh affirms the doctrine of bodily resurrection with multiple corroborating witnesses (Section V above); it does not, on its own pages, adjudicate which tradition's claim about a historically fulfilled instance is correct. That question falls outside what the Written Tanakh alone can settle, and Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution states this limit plainly rather than resolving it by appeal to New Testament or Qur'anic material carrying doctrinal weight the Tanakh itself does not grant them.

VII. SEVEN COVENANT DECLARATIONS ON THE RESURRECTION

#	COVENANT DECLARATION	BASIS
I	Death is the dissolution of the whole nephesh chayah (living being) — body included — not the liberation of a disembodied immortal soul. Resurrection, not escape from the body, is the covenant's answer to death.	Bereishit (Genesis) 2:7; 3:19
II	Yahweh alone holds sovereign authority to kill and to make alive. No rival power administers death or its reversal.	1 Shmuel (Samuel) 2:6; Devarim (Deuteronomy) 32:39

III	The dead who sleep in the dust will bodily awake to two distinct destinies — everlasting life or everlasting contempt.	Daniel 12:2
IV	The earth itself will give up its dead in bodily form; this is set within Yahweh's larger triumph over death.	Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 25:8; 26:19
V	Three historical acts of revivification within covenant history corroborate that Yahweh exercises real power over death, functioning as down payments on the final resurrection rather than substitutes for it.	1 Melachim (Kings) 17:17–24; 2 Melachim (Kings) 4:18–37; 13:20–21
VI	Resurrection is a covenant necessity, not a philosophical afterthought — without it, Yahweh's promised final judgment on every deed, visible and hidden, has no court in which to convene.	Devarim (Deuteronomy) 30:19; Kohelet (Ecclesiastes) 12:14
VII	The Written Tanakh affirms bodily resurrection as covenant doctrine with multiple corroborating witnesses; it does not itself adjudicate any specific tradition's claim of a historically fulfilled instance. That question stands outside what the Tanakh alone settles.	Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15

VIII. THE COVENANT RESPONSE — WHAT THE TANAKH ACTUALLY OFFERS THE PERSON FACING DEATH

The Tanakh does not offer philosophical proof of an afterlife designed to satisfy a skeptic. It offers a covenant pattern: **Yahweh** formed a living being from dust and breath (Bereishit \[Genesis\] 2:7); death dissolves that being back to dust (Bereishit \[Genesis\] 3:19) as the consequence of covenant rupture; and **Yahweh** who alone kills also alone makes alive (1 Shmuel \[Samuel\] 2:6), promising a bodily awakening — not all to the same destiny (Daniel 12:2) — as the final court in which every hidden deed receives its due (Kohelet \[Ecclesiastes\] 12:14). **Iyov (Job)**, speaking from the depth of unexplained personal loss, states the covenant hope in its most human form:

“I know that my Redeemer lives, and at the last He will stand upon the dust... and my eyes shall behold, and not another.”

This is the covenant's own answer — not a resolved philosophical system, but a Redeemer who lives, and a dust that will not have the final word.

SCRIPTURE INDEX

REFERENCE	SUBJECT	SECTION
Bereishit (Genesis) 2:7	Man formed of dust, becomes a nephesh chayah (living being)	I-B
Bereishit (Genesis) 3:19	Dust you are, to dust you shall return	I-B / VII.I
Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15	Two-or-three-witness standard governing all textual claims in this document	throughout
Devarim (Deuteronomy) 30:19	Life/death, blessing/curse set before Israel as covenant outcomes	III / VII.VI
Devarim (Deuteronomy) 32:39	I put to death and I bring to life	I-A / VII.II
1 Shmuel (Samuel) 2:6	Yahweh kills and brings to life; brings down to Sheol and raises up	II-E / V.5 / VII.II
1 Melachim (Kings) 17:17–24	Eliyahu revives the widow of Tzarfat's son; ties resurrection power to prophetic legitimacy	II-F / VII.V
2 Melachim (Kings) 4:18–37	Elisha revives the Shunammite woman's son; doubled miracle confirming the double portion of Eliyahu's spirit	II-F / VII.V
2 Melachim (Kings) 13:20–21	A dead man revives on contact with Elisha's bones — after Elisha's own death	II-F / VII.V
Iyov (Job) 19:25–27	My Redeemer (go'el) lives; in my flesh I shall see Elohim — embodied vs. disembodied reading disputed	II-D / V.4
Tehillim (Psalms) 16:10	You will not abandon my soul to Sheol; shachat (pit) vs. LXX diaphthora (corruption) — basis of Acts 2:25–31	II-E
Kohelet (Ecclesiastes) 12:14	Elohim will bring every deed into judgment	III / VII.VI
Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 25:8	He will swallow up death forever	II-B / VII.IV
Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 26:19	Your dead shall live, their corpses shall rise	II-B / V.2 / VII.IV
Yechezkel (Ezekiel) 37:1–14	Valley of dry bones — reassembly then ruach; rabbinic dispute (Sanhedrin 92b); parallels Qur'an 2:259 and Revelation 11:11	II-C / V.3

Hoshea (Hosea) 13:14	Shall I ransom them from Sheol? — promise or rhetorical negation is genuinely disputed	II-E
Daniel 12:2	Many who sleep in the dust shall awake, some to life, some to contempt — Pharisee/Sadducee dividing line	II-A / V.1 / VII.III

“For I know that my Redeemer lives, and at the last He will stand upon the dust.”

Iyov (Job) 19:25 — The Written Covenant of Yahweh

MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

Document 198 • Techiyat HaMetim: The Resurrection of the Dead • July 2026

miqdashbethel.org • miqdashbethel@gmail.com • 402-218-9530 • PO Box 762, Pearl River, Louisiana 70452

508(c)(1)(a) Free Church • Sole Tanakh Authority • Reaching Judaism, Christianity, and Islam